

. :

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/444,056 11/19/99 **AMIDHOZOUR** R ERUG-3 **EXAMINER** QM12/0411 NERBUN.P MICHAEL J DEHEAMER JR **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10020 3765 DATE MAILED: 04/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| ₹ | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-----|-------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | ~ | Applicant(s) | | |
| | | 09/444,056 | | AMIDHOZOUR ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Peter P Nerbun | | 3765 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N | lovember 1999 . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | is action is non-fin | al. | | | |
| 3)□ | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🛛 | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 15</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | |
| \cdot | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other: | | | | | | |
| ı/) 🔲 Into | omation disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | · 20/U | | <u>,</u> | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/444,056

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to for containing the following obvious errors:

In claim 7, line 7, after "set", --of-- should be inserted. In claim 8, line 3, "attributes" should be changed to --attribute--.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9-14, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woolston. The patent to Woolston discloses a method for classifying an area rug (see col. 16, lines 54-55), the method comprising identifying a set of attributes that characterize area rugs, the attributes including category and condition (as specified in data display blocks 944 and 956, Fig. 13), determining possible values for each of the identified attributes, obtaining an image of the area rug (using camera 12, Fig. 1), inspecting the image, determining values for the set of attributes based on the inspection, and associating with the area rug a set of values (the bar code number discussed on col. 17, lines 37-39) for each one of the set of identified attributes.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/444,056

Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolston in view of Burke. To store the images of Woolston at high resolution as suggested by

Burke (at col. 4, lines 1-3) would have been obvious since the consumer may desire to see small

detail of the image before deciding whether to buy the product.

Claims 7 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Nerbun, whose telephone number is (703)-308-0955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner also can be reached on alternate Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0861.

Peter Nerbun April 2, 2001

> Peter Nerbun Primary Examiner